
Subject: Public Comment | VMU | Item 3

From: Bill

Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2022 3:55 PM

To: Shari Herbruck

Cc: James Vega; Lucas Seibert; sean mcdermott; Brian Aikens; Ron McCrea; Bob Daddi; Dale Hanson; Jeremy McBride

Subject: Planning Commission 8/17/22 item 3

To: Planning Commission

Cc. City manager, planning director

From: Bill Miley

Subject: 8/17/22 meeting item 3

Hello. I have studied this report...referencing the zoning maps found in the city's Document File. I realize such an effort is probably not needed. So, Here what understand.

One correction is that VMU does not allow Manufacturing businesses...so moving it from Commercial and Manufacturing zoning is necessary to correct that non-allowance.

The second item is where to put it. I don't recall what the issues where with short-term rentals but can assume there was ambiguity which led to "illegal" units being operated and noticed to cease operation. A big "dustup".

I also assume that the Special Purpose Zoning classification is a general category of Zoning which allows flexibility. It has Open Space, Agricultural, institutional recreation of three differ sizes and Public-quasi Public. And now VMU.

There is one "typo" omission on page 7 that needs correcting....Item 5 the word "with" should be "within", i believe. See screen shot below.

I do have a suggestion for what i will call easier understanding. How about an executive summary at the very beginning written not by a planner but by our public Information coordinator now our Interim Assistant to the City Manager. I work hard to understand new planning subjects and read all the detail. But i am unusual many of my friend tell me. Most folks won't work that hard. A simpler summary would help make it transparent to many more folks...that would help create more public understanding of what our government does.

Thanks for considering my thoughts and suggestions. Bill Miley ☺☺



AA

drive.google.com



A&A: P'd

Incredible St...

Sinkable by...

Thank You

Trivial synon...

6:00 PM Pla...

08-17-22 PC...

Item 3-VMU...



Google Drive

Open in the Drive app

OPEN

Attachment B

Page 6 of 16

Notes:

- (1) See Article 36 for land use definitions. See Section 10-2.303 regarding land uses not listed here.
 - (2) Some permitted uses in special purpose districts may require a design review permit (Art. 20).
 - (3) Unless otherwise exempted, new residential uses may require a growth allocation pursuant to the City's residential growth management plan (Chapter 6 of Title 10).
 - (4) Allowed in conjunction with another commercial use.
 - (5) All uses shall be conducted with an enclosed structure unless granted a conditional use permit.
- (§ 3, Ord. 771, eff. February 13, 2004)

Sec. 10-2.604. Special purpose district general development standards.

Except as otherwise provided by subsections (a) through (c), subdivisions, new land uses and structures and alterations to existing land uses and structures shall be designed, constructed, and/or established in compliance with the requirements in Table 2-7, in addition to any other applicable requirements of this article and the development standards (e.g., landscaping, parking and loading) in Articles 8 through 17 of this chapter.

- (a) *I-R Zoning Districts.* Proposed development and new land uses within the I-R-1, I-R-2, and I-R-3 Zoning Districts shall be designed, established, and maintained in compliance with Section 10-2.605.